BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
3 1761 04866 7786

EVIDENCE

VOLUME 2

Alan Mewett
Hamish Stewart
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

1999-2000

These materials are distributed solely for classroom use by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
SEP 7 1999
FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

EVIDENCE

VOLUME 2

Alan Mewett
Hamish Stewart

Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

1999-2000

These materials are distributed solely for classroom use by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

https://archive.org/details/evidence02mewe

Table of Contents

5. CREDIBILITY 373

5(a) Enhancing Credibility **373**

5(a)(i) Expert Evidence 373
R. v. Kyselka et al. 373
R. v. Marquard 375
5(a)(ii) Prior Consistent Statements 381
R. v. Giraldi 381
R. v. Rozich 384

5(b) Impeaching Credibility **389**

5(b)(i) Expert Evidence 389
Toohey v. Metropolitan Police Commissioner 389
R. v. French 393
5(b)(ii) Prior Inconsistent Statements: Other Party's Witness 398
5(b)(iii) Prior Inconsistent Statements: Own Witness 398
5(b)(iv) Prior Convictions 402
R. v. St. Pierre 402
Morris v. The Queen 404
Corbett v. The Queen 415
Applying Corbett 442
5(b)(v) Duty to Cross-Examine 443
5(b)(vi) The Collateral Facts Bar 445
R. v. Rafael 445
Defining "Collateral Facts" 446
Problems on Collateral Facts 448
Exceptions to the Collateral Facts Bar 452

5(c) Reputation for Veracity **453**

R. v. Clarke 453

5(d) Assessing the Credibility of Children **463**

R. v. B. (G.) 463

5(e) Corroboration **467**

R. v. Baskerville 467
R. v. Ethier 469
Vetrovec v. The Queen; Gaja v. The Queen 471
R. v. B. (G.) 482

6. CHARACTER 490

6(a) Character Directly in Issue **490**

6(b) Character as Circumstantial Evidence 490

6(c) Putting Character in Issue 490

R. v. McNamara et al. (No. 1) 491

6(d) Methods of Proving Character: Accused Persons 495

6(d)(i) Reputation 495
NOTE: R. v. Rowton 495
R. v. Levasseur 496
R. v. Profit (Ont.C.A.) 500
R. v. Profit (S.C.C.) 508
6(d)(ii) Specific Acts 509
R. v. McNamara et al. (No. 1) 509
6(d)(iii) Psychiatric Evidence of Disposition 512
R. v. Lupien 512
R. v. Robertson 516
R. v. Mohan 518
Morin v. R. 528

6(e) Methods of Proving Character: Third Party Suspects 534

R. v. McMillan 534

6(f) Methods of Proving Character: Victims 540

R. v. Scopelliti 540

7. SIMILAR FACT EVIDENCE 548

Makin v. Attorney-General for New South Wales 548
R. v. Smith 549
R. v. Straffen 550
NOTE: Director of Public Prosecutions v. Boardman 554
Sweitzer v. The Queen 562
R. v. B.(C.R.) 566
R. v. C.(M.H.) 578
R. v. B. (L.) 580
Note: R. v. Arp 599

8. STATEMENTS BY ACCUSED PERSONS: COMMON LAW 605

8(a) Introduction 605

8(b) Pre-Trial Silence 605

R. v. Eden 605
R. v. Sweeney (No. 2) 607
R. v. Sayegh (No. 3) 611
R. v. Chambers 613

8(c) Consciousness of Guilt 619

- R. v. Arcangioli 619
  R. v. White 622

8(d) Statements to Persons in Authority 630

8(d)(i) Who is a Person in Authority? 630
- R. v. Rothman 630
  R. v. Hodgson 637

8(d)(ii) The Voir Dire 649

8(d)(iii) Voluntariness: Fear of Prejudice or Hope of Advantage 650
- Ibrahim v. The King 650
  Some Examples of Statements Induced by Police 652

8(d)(iv) Voluntariness: Operating Mind 654
- Ward v. R. 654
- Horvath v. The Queen 656

8(d)(v) Voluntariness: Oppression 671
  Note on Oppressive Questioning 671
  R. v. Serack 672

8(d)(vi) Confession Confirmed by Subsequent Fact 673
- Rex v. St. Lawrence 673